WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED	STAT	TES OF	$\Delta M = 1$	$RIC\Delta$

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Daniel Soto-Bojorquez		Case Number:	11-7140m		
Defendant was	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 spresent and was represented by counsel. detention of the defendant pending trial in	I conclude by a preponderand	as submitted to the Court on 3/17/11. ee of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk		
		INDINGS OF FACT			
I find by a prep	oonderance of the evidence that:				
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the Un	ited States or lawfully admitte	d for permanent residence.		
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charge	ed offense, was in the United	States illegally.		
			the Bureau of Immigration and Customs the defendant has previously been deported		
	The defendant has no significant contact	cts in the United States or in the	ne District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	United States from which he/s	he might make a bond reasonably calculated		
	The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ory.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applican substantial family ties to Mexico.	t but has no substantial ties	in Arizona or in the United States and has		
	There is a record of prior failure to appe	ear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonn	nent.		
The C	ourt incorporates by reference the materia	I findings of the Pretrial Servic	es Agency which were reviewed by the Court		

at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

 DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION 2.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 17th day of March, 2011.

Edward C. Voss United States Magistrate Judge